IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Civil Contempt Petition No.344 of 2006

Sant Ram S/o Sri Sukhbir Singh,
Serving as Executive Engineer,
Construction Division, Public Work Department,
Ramnagar, District Nainital. ……. Petitioner

Versus

1. Sri P.K. Mishra, Additional Secretary / Director (SR),
   Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension,
   (Department of Personnel and Training),
   Government of India, Lok Nayak Bhawan,
   3rd Floor, Khan Market, New Delhi 110003.
2. Sri Vishwanathan Anand, Chairman,
   State Advisory Committee,
   Constituted under U.P . Reorganization Act 2000,
   Gate No.31, Jawahar Lal Nehru Stadium,
   New Delhi.
3. Sri Utpal Kumar Singh, Secretary
   Public Works Department,
   Government of Uttaranchal, Dehraun.
4. Sri S.K. Aggarwal, Principal Secretary,
   Public Works Department,
   Government of U.P., Lucknow.
5. Sri Raja Ram, Principal Secretary,
   U.P .Reorganisation Coordination Department,
   Government of U.P., Lucknow /
   Member Secretary,
   State Advisory Committee.
6. Sri Nrip Singh Napalchiyal, Principal Secretary,
   Reorganisation Department,
   Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun /
   Member, State Advisory Committee.
7. Sri Manish Mohan, Deputy Secretary (SR),
   Department of Personal and Training,
   Government of India. …… Respondents

Mr. Alok Mehra, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Manoj Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Anjali Bhargava, Addl. Standing Counsel (Central Govt.) for respondents Nos. 1, 2 & 7.
Mr. Subhash Upadhyaya, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 3& 6.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C .J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Mr. Alok Mehra, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Manoj Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anjali Bhargava, Addl. Standing Counsel (Central Govt.) for respondents Nos. 1, 2 & 7.

Mr. Subhash Upadyaya, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 3 & 6.

2. Ms. Anjali Bhargava, the learned Addl. Standing Counsel for respondents Nos. 1, 2 & 7 has submitted that petitioner Sant Ram has been provisionally allocated to the State of Uttarakhand Vide order dated 22.082007.

3. Mr. Alok Mehra, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above factual development during the pendency of the Contempt Petition.

4. In this view of the matter, the Contempt Petition, now, has become infructuous and is dismissed accordingly.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) 05.09.2007

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 05.09.2007

G